

Figure 1. Regional cultural participation, percent of all respondents by type of activity




Figure 2. Neighborhood cultural participation, percent of all respondents by type of activity




Figure 3. Regional and neighborhood cultural participation rates, percent of respondents by case study neighborhood




Figure 4. Community participation, percent of all respondents by type of activity




Figure 5. Frequency of community participation, by educational attainment of respondent




Figure 6. Frequency of community participation, by income of respondent




Figure 7. Frequency of community participation, by age of respondent




Figure 8. Frequency of cultural participation by community participation






Figure 9. Scattergram--frequency of cultural participation and community participation, case study neighborhoods



Correlation coefficient: .492

Figure 10. Quality of life assessment by case study neighborhood¹



¹ Ratings were a Likert scale in which a (4) represents excellent, a (3) good, a (2) fair, and a (1) poor.